

ANYONS BEYOND THE PLANE

Edouard Gorbar^{*}, Stefan Mashkevich^{*,† 1} and Sergei Sharapov^{*}

^{*} Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev 252143, Ukraine

[†] Division de Physique Théorique ², IPN, Orsay Fr-91406

Abstract: We consider particles in three-dimensional space, which have a certain probability to find themselves in a thin layer (“plane”), where they are assumed to be well described by a planar Hamiltonian and are subject to Aharonov-Bohm-type interaction. We demonstrate that their planar motion is then anyonlike, with the “effective statistical parameter” proportional essentially to the square of the probability. We also show that charge-flux composites with solenoids of finite length, provided they are themselves fermions, can form a bound state in which they behave like anyons, without any external potential confining them to a plane.

IPNO/TH 95-20

cond-mat/9503160

March 1995

¹*email: mash@phys.unit.no*

²*Unité de Recherche des Universités Paris 11 et Paris 6 associée au CNRS*

1. As is well-known, fractional statistics is possible only in two-dimensional space [1] (in one-dimensional space as well, but this is not our concern here); this is dictated by general topological arguments. On the other hand, the real world is three-dimensional, and two-dimensionality may only be regarded as an approximation. Therefore for fundamental particles, fractional statistics is impossible. On the other hand, it is intuitively clear that if a particle is confined in one direction strongly enough, a two-dimensional model should describe its behavior well enough. Thus, a question arises about a quantitative description of the transition from a “purely three-dimensional” regime, with no anyons possible, to a “quasi-two-dimensional” one, where they may be considered.

Since no particle itself can be an anyon, in reality it is only possible to obtain fractional statistics effectively by means of some interaction. The most relevant example seems to be the model [2] where the “particles” (more generally, quasiparticle excitations) are made of charges and infinitely thin solenoids and therefore interact à la Aharonov-Bohm. If the solenoid has an infinite length, then nothing depends on the third coordinate (z) and the two-dimensional description is exact. If it is much longer than the domain of motion along the z axis, then this description may be regarded as a good approximation. We are going to clarify what happens if one goes beyond that approximation. We will consider the two-particle problem, including the calculation of the second virial coefficient, and the problem of the N -particle ground state. In the second part we will demonstrate that under certain conditions, anyonic interaction itself may confine the particles to a plane, forming a bound state.

Anyons are particles that live on a plane and whose two-particle Hamiltonian is

$$H(R, \Phi; r, \varphi) = H_{\text{CM}}(R, \Phi) + H_0(r, \varphi) + \Delta H(r, \varphi; \alpha), \quad (1)$$

where $H_{\text{CM}}(R, \Phi)$ is the (irrelevant) center-of-mass Hamiltonian and

$$H_0(r, \varphi) = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{mr} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{mr^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{m\omega^2}{4} r^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta H(r, \varphi) = \frac{2i\alpha}{mr^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{mr^2}. \quad (3)$$

Here the so-called regular gauge [3] has been chosen, in which the wave function is symmetric (or antisymmetric) with respect to interchange: $\Psi(r, -\varphi) = \Psi(r, \varphi)$ [$-\Psi(r, \varphi)$, respectively]; α is the statistical parameter, and a harmonic attraction has been added by hand in order to discretize the spectrum. The nature of the interaction (3), for example in the context of the fractional quantum Hall effect, may be understood as follows: The particles are confined to a plane (the interface between two semiconductors etc.) by an external potential and being on this plane, they effectively have a magnetic flux “glued” to them; it is then the charge-flux interaction that is described by $\Delta H(r, \phi)$ (it changes the kinetic angular momentum by α). Imagine now a situation in which the “plane” has a finite thickness³ $2l$ while the particles can move in the z direction within a range of width $2D$; when a particle is within the “plane”, it acquires the flux (stretched in the z direction) and therefore interacts with the other particles in the above-described manner, otherwise it does not interact with them. Clearly, the relevant two-particle Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = H^\perp(z_1) + H^\perp(z_2) + H_{\text{CM}}(R, \Phi) + H_0(r, \varphi) + \theta(l - |z_1|)\theta(l - |z_2|)\Delta H(r, \varphi; \alpha), \quad (4)$$

where

$$H^\perp(z) = -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + V(z), \quad (5)$$

$V(z)$ is some confining potential, which determines D , and $\theta(z)$ is the step function.

³It is to remind of this thickness that we use the quotation marks.

This model can be said to describe an effective change of statistics in three dimensions. Indeed, if $D < l$ (to be more exact, if $V(z) = \infty$ for $|z| > l$), we arrive to the above-discussed situation of infinite solenoids, and the planar motion decouples from the z motion and is purely anyonic. On the contrary, if $D \gg l$, the last term in (4) has essentially no effect, and one has pure bosons (or fermions). In the most interesting intermediate case $D \gtrsim l$, the problem does not allow for a separation of variables and therefore in general is not solvable. However, if $|\alpha| \ll 1$, then it is possible to consider the last term as a perturbation. The unperturbed solutions are (here and after we completely ignore the planar center-of-mass excitations)

$$\psi_{k_1 k_2 L n}^{(0)} = \psi_{k_1}^\perp(z_1) \psi_{k_2}^\perp(z_2) \psi_{Ln}(r, \varphi), \quad (6)$$

where $\psi_k^\perp(z)$ are the eigenfunctions of $H^\perp$ and $\psi_{Ln}(r, \varphi)$ are the eigenfunctions of H_0 ; in particular, for H_0 as in (2) we have

$$\psi_{Ln}(r, \varphi) = c_{Ln} r^{|L|} e^{iL\varphi} {}_1F_1\left(-n, |L| + 1; -\frac{m\omega}{2} r^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{m\omega}{4} r^2\right), \quad (7)$$

and correspondingly

$$E_{k_1 k_2 L n}^{(0)} = E_{k_1}^\perp + E_{k_2}^\perp + (2n + |L| + 1)\omega \quad (8)$$

(L is even/odd for bosons/fermions; in what follows we will consider bosons, until otherwise stated). Now, for the two-anyon Hamiltonian, first-order perturbation theory gives [4, 5] the result which is in fact correct for any α [1, 2],

$$\langle \psi_{Ln}(r, \varphi) | \Delta H(r, \varphi; \alpha) | \psi_{Ln}(r, \varphi) \rangle = \begin{cases} \alpha\omega, & L > 0, \\ |\alpha|\omega, & L = 0, \\ -\alpha\omega, & L < 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Hence the first-order energy in our problem is easily found to be

$$E_{k_1 k_2 L n}^{(1)} = E_{k_1}^\perp + E_{k_2}^\perp + (2n + |L + w_{k_1} w_{k_2} \alpha| + 1)\omega, \quad (10)$$

where

$$w_k = \int_{-l}^l \left| \psi_k^\perp(z) \right|^2 dz \quad (11)$$

is the probability that a particle with the wave function $\psi_k^\perp(z)$ stays within the “plane”.

This result is natural enough, illustrating that the particles are anyons “inasmuch as they are in the plane”: The planar part of the energy corresponds to that of two anyons with an “effective statistical parameter” equal to α times the probability that both particles are in the “plane”. Passing from $D \ll l$ to $D \gg l$ will correspond to passing from $w_{k_{1,2}} \simeq 1$ to $w_{k_{1,2}} \simeq 0$. Note by the way that for perturbation theory to be applicable, it is in fact not necessary that $|\alpha| \ll 1$, it is sufficient that $w_{k_1} w_{k_2} |\alpha| \ll 1$ [the result (9) is exact anyway].

As an illustration, let us calculate explicitly the “planar” second virial coefficient in this situation, defined as usually [7],

$$b_2 = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\lambda T}{\omega} \right)^2 \left(1 - 2 \frac{\mathcal{Z}_2}{\mathcal{Z}_1^2} \right), \quad (12)$$

$\lambda = \sqrt{2\pi/mT}$ being the thermal wavelength. (Here and further, $\mathcal{Z}$ stands for three-dimensional partition functions, Z and $Z^\perp$ for planar and perpendicular ones, respectively.) Let the perpendicular potential be an infinitely deep well:

$$V(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & |z| < D, \\ \infty, & |z| > D. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Then

$$E_k^\perp = \frac{\pi^2}{8mD^2} k^2, \quad \psi_k^\perp(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sin \frac{\pi k}{2} \left(1 + \frac{x}{D} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$w_k = \gamma - \frac{\sin[(1+\gamma)\pi k]}{\pi k}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{l}{D}. \quad (16)$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 = Z_1^\perp Z_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{\pi^2}{8mD^2T} k^2 \right] \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{L=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{(2n + |L| + 1)\omega}{T} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\theta_3(0, q) - 1] \times \frac{1}{4 \sinh^2 \frac{\omega}{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$q = \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8mD^2T} \right) \quad (18)$$

and $\theta_i(z, q)$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are elliptic theta functions. On the other hand,

$$Z_2 = \sum_{\substack{k_1 k_2 n L \\ L \text{ even}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{8mD^2} (k_1^2 + k_2^2) + (2n + |L| + 1)\omega + w_{k_1} w_{k_2} \alpha \omega \operatorname{sign} L \right] \right\} Z_1 \quad (19)$$

(for $L = 0$, the last term in the square brackets has to be replaced by $w_{k_1} w_{k_2} |\alpha| \omega$), and expanding to the first order in α , one eventually gets

$$b_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \mu^2 |\alpha| \right) \lambda^2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{Z_1^\perp} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k \exp \left(-E_k^\perp / T \right) \\ &= \gamma \left[1 + \frac{\int_0^1 \theta_4(\pi \gamma x / 2, q) dx}{\theta_3(0, q) - 1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

That is, μ is the thermal average of the quantity w_k , and again the “effective statistical parameter” is proportional to μ^2 , reflecting the fact that two-particle interaction is involved. Clearly, for $q = 0$ (which corresponds to $T = 0$) one has $\mu = w_1 = \gamma + \sin(\pi\gamma)/\pi$, while for $q = 1$ ($T = \infty$) the probability distribution along z becomes uniform and $\mu = \gamma$. Fig. 1 shows the dependences $\mu(\gamma)$ for these two limit cases (dashed lines) as well as for an intermediate case $q = 0.75$ (solid line).

Let us now extend the above considerations to the N -particle case. The complete N -anyon spectrum is not known, for $N \geq 3$, but the ground state for small enough α is known: namely, if

$$H_1(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}r^2 \quad (22)$$

is the one-particle (planar) Hamiltonian, $H_n(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n; \alpha)$ is the n -anyon Hamiltonian in the regular gauge, and

$$\Delta H_n(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n; \alpha) = H_n(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n; \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^n H_1(\mathbf{r}_j), \quad (23)$$

then the first-order correction from ΔH_n to the ground state of $\sum_j H_1(\mathbf{r}_j)$, with the wave function $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) = c_n \exp\left(-\frac{m\omega^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{r}_j^2\right)$, is (see [5] again)

$$\langle \psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) | \Delta H_n(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n; \alpha) | \psi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) \rangle = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} |\alpha| \omega. \quad (24)$$

What will be the generalization of Eq. (4) for this case? Introduce a quantity

$$\xi_{k_1 \dots k_n}^N = \theta(l - |z_{k_1}|) \cdots \theta(l - |z_{k_n}|) \theta(|z_{k_{n+1}}| - l) \cdots \theta(|z_{k_N}| - l), \quad (25)$$

where $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are any n different numbers from the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and $k_{n+1}, \dots, k_N$ are the remaining ones from this set. Thus, $\xi_{k_1 \dots k_n}^N$ is equal to 1 if and only if the particles with numbers $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are in the “plane” and all the others are out, otherwise it is equal to 0. Following our assumption that the particles interact only when they are in the “plane”, we get

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^N H^\perp(z_j) + \sum_{j=1}^N H_1(\mathbf{r}_j) + \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{\{k_1 \dots k_n\}} \xi_{k_1 \dots k_n}^N \Delta H_n(\mathbf{r}_{k_1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{k_n}; \alpha), \quad (26)$$

where the last sum is performed over all possible choices of n numbers $k_1, \dots, k_n$, for a given n ; the number of terms in this sum is the binomial coefficient C_N^n . Assuming again

that it is possible to consider the last term as a perturbation, the first-order ground state energy of (26) will be

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{(1)} &= NE_0^\perp + N\omega + \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n w_0^n (1-w_0)^{N-n} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} |\alpha| \omega \\ &= NE_0^\perp + N\omega + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} w_0^2 |\alpha| \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where again w_0 is the probability for a particle to be in the “plane”, as in (11). Once again, this corresponds, with the subtraction of the z motion, to the ground state energy of N anyons with an “effective statistical parameter” $w_0^2 \alpha$; this is again natural, taking into account that upon introducing the ansatz necessary to make perturbation theory work [5], the interaction Hamiltonian becomes a sum of pair terms. Alternatively, if $N \gg 1$, one may represent the last term as $\frac{(w_0 N)^2}{2} |\alpha| \omega$, interpreting it as the ground state energy of $w_0 N$ anyons, $w_0 N$ being the average quantity of them in the “plane”.

2. So long we assumed the particles to be confined to a fixed “plane” by an external potential, as it happens, for example, in the quantum Hall effect; then the whole spectrum is certainly discrete. Now we are going to show that a two-particle bound state can as well emerge even when the confining potential is absent, so that the z motion is free; in this situation there is no fixed “plane”, and we suppose that the interaction is present for $|z_1 - z_2| < l$. This would correspond to the particles being charge-flux composites with solenoid length l and the function $\Phi(r, z)$, the flux felt by one charge from the other solenoid when their centers are separated by the vector $(\mathbf{r}, z)$, being approximated by $\phi \cdot \theta(|l| - z)$; dropping the r dependence is justified at least for $r \ll l$, when the flux lines close far away from the charges. Our idea is simple enough: If the particles themselves are *fermions*, then the energy of the two-particle planar ground state is 2ω outside the “plane” but $(2 - |\alpha|)\omega$ inside (note that here and further, α will mean what $(1 - \alpha)$ usually means,

i.e. the deviation from Fermi statistics); now, in three dimensions a state with energy E such that $(2 - |\alpha|)\omega < E < 2\omega$ can exist—the z dependence of its wave function will be a superposition of plane waves inside the “plane”, but exponential damping outside, due to the right inequality. (See, e.g., [8] for a discussion of an analogous situation, when a bound state exists in a classically unbound system.)

For an explicit computation, recall the general case: Let a system have a boundary $z = l$ and thus be characterized by a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(\mathbf{r}, z) = \begin{cases} H^-(\mathbf{r}) + H^-(z), & z < l, \\ H^+(\mathbf{r}) + H^+(z), & z > l. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Suppose that the following information is available: (i) the solution of the spectral problem for $H^-(\mathbf{r})$ and $H^+(\mathbf{r})$, that is the energies and wave functions in

$$H^\pm(\mathbf{r})\phi_m^\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}_m^\pm\phi_m^\pm(\mathbf{r}), \quad (29)$$

and (ii) the wave functions $\psi^\pm(\mathcal{E}, z)$, for every $\mathcal{E}$, such that

$$H^\pm(z)\psi^\pm(\mathcal{E}, z) = \mathcal{E}\psi^\pm(\mathcal{E}, z) \quad (30)$$

and that $\int_0^{\pm\infty} |\psi^\pm(\mathcal{E}, z)|^2 dz$ is finite. [If $\mathcal{E}$ takes a value within the discrete set of eigenvalues of the extension of $H^+(z)$ to $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$, then the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi^+(\mathcal{E}, z)|^2 dz$ is finite as well, for any other $\mathcal{E}$ it is of course divergent, the same for $\psi^-(\mathcal{E}, z)$.] Then it is possible to solve the problem (28). Indeed, since in each of the two regions the variables separate, the wave function has to be searched for in the form

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, z) = \begin{cases} \sum_n c_n^- \phi_n^-(\mathbf{r}) \psi^-(E - \mathcal{E}_n^-, z), & z < l, \\ \sum_m c_m^+ \phi_m^+(\mathbf{r}) \psi^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, z), & z > l; \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

by construction, it satisfies the equation $H(\mathbf{r}, z)\Psi(\mathbf{r}, z) = E\Psi(\mathbf{r}, z)$ and is normalizable. The two boundary conditions take the form

$$\sum_n c_n^- \phi_n^-(\mathbf{r}) \psi^-(E - \mathcal{E}_n^-, l) = \sum_m c_m^+ \phi_m^+(\mathbf{r}) \psi^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, l) \quad (32)$$

and the same with $\psi^\pm$ replaced by $\psi_z^\pm \equiv \partial\psi^\pm/\partial z$. Scalarly multiplying both these conditions by $\varphi_k^-(\mathbf{r})$, we get

$$\begin{cases} c_k^- \psi^-(E - \mathcal{E}_k^-, l) = \sum_m c_m^+ \Phi_{km} \psi^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, l), \\ c_k^- \psi_z^-(E - \mathcal{E}_k^-, l) = \sum_m c_m^+ \Phi_{km} \psi_z^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, l), \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\Phi_{km} = \int \overline{\phi_k^-(\mathbf{r})} \phi_m^+(\mathbf{r}) d^2\mathbf{r} \quad (34)$$

is the overlap integral, and finally, excluding c_k^- ,

$$\sum_m A_{km} c_m^+ = 0, \quad (35)$$

where

$$A_{km} = [\psi^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, l) \psi_z^-(E - \mathcal{E}_k^-, l) - \psi_z^+(E - \mathcal{E}_m^+, l) \psi^-(E - \mathcal{E}_k^-, l)] \Phi_{km}. \quad (36)$$

The equation $\det A_{kn} = 0$ then determines the eigenvalues of E .

Let us calculate the ground state in the problem at hand. Symmetry with respect to $z = 0$ is present, hence the ground state wave function has to be even with respect to z (nodeless), which means it is enough to consider $z \in [0, \infty)$; we have

$$H^-(z) = H^+(z) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$H^+(\mathbf{r}) = H_0(r, \varphi), \quad (38)$$

$$H^-(\mathbf{r}) = H_0(r, \varphi) + \Delta H(r, \varphi; \alpha); \quad (39)$$

in view of the aforesaid, one has to choose

$$\psi^+(\mathcal{E}, z) = \exp(-\sqrt{-2m\mathcal{E}z}) \quad (\mathcal{E} < 0), \quad (40)$$

$$\psi^-(\mathcal{E}, z) = \cos(\sqrt{2m\mathcal{E}z}) \quad (\mathcal{E} > 0). \quad (41)$$

Again, let us restrict ourselves to the simplest case $|\alpha| \ll 1$, whence $\Phi_{km} \simeq \delta_{km} + \alpha X_{km}$; since all terms in $\det A_{km}$, except $\prod_k A_{kk}$, will then have at least a factor α^2 , we can neglect them. We are to search for E in the form

$$E = (2 - \kappa|\alpha|)\omega, \quad (42)$$

with $0 < \kappa < 1$, the only possibility is then to have $A_{00} = 0$; now $\mathcal{E}_0^- = (2 - |\alpha|)\omega$, $\mathcal{E}_0^+ = 2\omega$, and an equation for κ follows

$$\tan \sqrt{2|\alpha|\xi(1 - \kappa)} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{1 - \kappa}}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\xi = m\omega l^2. \quad (44)$$

The asymptotic expressions are $\kappa \simeq 2|\alpha|\xi$ for $|\alpha|\xi \ll 1$ and $\kappa \rightarrow 1$ for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$; the whole dependence $\kappa(\xi)$, for $|\alpha| = 0.5$, is sampled on Fig. 2. Thus, in this situation it is the ratio of the solenoid length (l) and the planar scale ($1/\sqrt{m\omega}$) that matters: The first one being much greater brings us again to the case of ideal anyons ($E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^-$), in the second one, clearly, the length of the “tail” of the wave function (along z) is much greater than l , and $E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^+$, which means that the particles become essentially free; however, the bound state exists always, despite the absence of a confining potential. (Note that the above-discussed replacement of $\Phi(r, z)$ by the step function would in fact be good in the first regime only, i.e. for $\xi \gg 1$; however, the qualitative picture will remain the same as described in any case.)

We come to the conclusions that:

1. If the particles (generally speaking, not pointlike) have a finite probability to find themselves within a “plane”—a region where they are subject to anyonic interaction, they may be regarded, in what concerns their planar motion, as anyons with the statistical parameter proportional typically to the square of this probability. This is a natural way of realizing how one can (effectively) get fractional statistics within an underlying three-dimensional model;

2. For charge-flux composites with solenoids of finite length which are fermions by themselves, the anyonic interaction, having a character of attraction, can lead to formation of a bound state even if their longitudinal motion is by no means restricted.

We wonder whether a specific microscopic model could be found in which this description would work properly. In particular, it would be an interesting problem to study in more detail the influence of possible “jumps” of particles (excitations) off the plane, for example, on the fractional quantum Hall effect, in particular to observe how the effect disappears when confinement to the plane becomes less strong.

Acknowledgements: S.M. gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the theory division of the IPN at Orsay, where part of this work was done.

References

- [1] J.M. Leinaas, J. Myrheim, *Nuovo Cimento* **37B**, 1 (1977).
- [2] F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1144 (1982), *ibid.* **49**, 957 (1982).
- [3] D. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B360**, 397 (1991).

- [4] J. McCabe, S. Ouvry, Phys. Lett. B **260**, 113 (1991); S. Ouvry, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 5296 (1994); G. Amelino-Camelia, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 2000 (1995).
- [5] In the $L = 0$ case, it is known that perturbation theory is not directly applicable, since it gives a senseless infinite energy shift; however, with a certain modification of the theory itself [4, 6], the result (9) will be produced, as well as (24) for the n -body case.
- [6] A. Comtet, S. Mashkevich, S. Ouvry, preprint IPNO/TH 94-91, Orsay, 1994 (to be published).
- [7] D.P. Arovas, J.R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek, A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. **251**, 117 (1985).
- [8] R.L. Schult, D.G. Ravenhall, H.W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 5476 (1989).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. The probability $\mu(\gamma)$ that a particle stays within the “plane”, for three cases: $q = 0$ (dashed curve); $q = 0.75$ (solid curve); $q = 1$ (dashed straight line).

Fig. 2. The function $\kappa(\xi)$ which determines the energy of the bound state, for $|\alpha| = 0.5$.